





United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women





Management Perspective to the 2015 Annual Report on the Evaluation Function in UN Women





Annual Session of the UN Women Executive Board, June 2016*

*Originally presented at the informal with the EB by Khetsiwe Dlamini UN-Women, Chief of Staff



Solid gender-responsive evaluation frameworks benefit UN-Women, the entire UN system and its partners. It will better position UN to be 'fit-forpurpose' - fully integrating gender equality and women's empowerment in the 2030 Agenda



Most (6/9) KPIs are achieved or on track

Key performance Indicator (KPIs)	2013	2014	2015	Target by 2017	Overall assessment
Financial resources invested in evaluation	1.3%	2.2%	2.0%/ 2.8%	3%	On track/ under review
Human Resources for Monitoring and Evaluation	83%	98%	100%	100%	Achieved
Evaluation reports posted on public website	85%	100%	100%	100%	Achieved
Quality of evaluations (satisfactory and above)	85%	100%	100%	100%	Achieved
Use of evaluations	-	71%	75%	90%	On track
Implementation of MR	88%	83%	85%	90%	On track



Opportunities for improvement

Key Performance Indicator	2013	2014	2015	Target by 2017	Overall assessment
Evaluation Coverage	67%	68%	71%	100%	Needs Improvement
Evaluation Implementation Rate	83%	71%	76%	95%	Needs Improvement
Management response submission to the GATE	85%	86%	86%	100%	Needs Improvement



What is being done to improve

- Leveraging the TOCs of Flagship Programme Initiatives (FPIs) to establish robust result-frameworks and concrete baselines for support gender responsive evaluation
- Investment in systems:
 - Enhancement of Results Management System to support quality evaluations
 - Three platforms: Planning, Monitoring, and Annual Reporting
 - Powerful search function with capability to generate customized reports that can feed into evaluations.
 - Linking RMS with the enterprise resource planning (ERP) tool of the organization (ATLAS)



What is being done to improve

- Strengthening of oversight function
 - Strategic Notes (SNs) quality assurance system
 - Continuing inclusion of MERP in the SNs
 - Ensuring SMART results and indicators, baselines and targets
 - Independent post-SN approval quality assurance from RBM perspective
 - Strengthening use of evaluations in programming and interventions in field offices



What is being done to improve

- Increasing national capacity
 - Gender responsive evaluator roster (>70)
 - Professionalization programme (e-learning, mentoring, certification)
 - Tailored quality assurance and technical support
 - Joint field-level evaluation management arrangement



The Road Ahead

- Continuous improvement on KPIs, including implementation, coverage and use of evaluations
- Further investment in systems
- Enhanced internal capacity and training of partners in SDG implementation framework
- Use of evaluation findings to strengthen corporate strategic planning
- Fully costed monitoring, evaluation and research plans and increased focus on compliance
- Review of Evaluation Policy in 3-5 years timeframe



Thank you!